

## Multi-hop Graph Convolutional Networks for Accurate Node Classification

Junghun Kim, U Kang

Seoul National University

[bandalg97@snu.ac.kr](mailto:bandalg97@snu.ac.kr), [ukang@snu.ac.kr](mailto:ukang@snu.ac.kr)

## 정확한 노드 분류를 위한 다중 홉 그래프 합성곱 신경망

김정현, 강 유  
서울대학교

## Abstract

How can we leverage information from multi-hop neighbors in graph convolutional networks (GCNs) without relying on multiple stacking, which often causes over-smoothing? We propose Mh-GCN, a simple yet effective architecture that captures  $K$ -hop neighbor information in parallel by learning a weighted combination of hop-specific adjacency matrices. This single-layer design enables efficient and expressive representation learning across multiple scales without the drawbacks of stacked GCNs. Experiments on benchmark citation networks show that Mh-GCN consistently achieves powerful performance with low model complexity.

## I . Introduction

How can we learn accurate and expressive node representations using only a single-layer GCN? GCN-based methods have been extensively studied for solving various tasks on graph-structured data [1, 2, 3]. They rely on stacking multiple layers to capture multi-hop neighborhood information, but they often suffer from over-smoothing and increased training complexity. To overcome these issues, we propose Mh-GCN, a framework that captures multi-hop context using a single layer, by learning a weighted combination of hop-specific adjacency matrices.

## II . Method

Before introducing our method, consider a naive GCN with  $K$  layers. The prediction probability  $H \in R^{N \times C}$  is computed as follows:

$$H = \sigma(\tilde{A} \dots \sigma(\tilde{A}(\sigma(\tilde{A}XW_1)W_2) \dots)W_K)$$

where  $N$  and  $C$  are the numbers of nodes and classes, respectively,  $W_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, K$  are learnable parameters,  $X \in R^{N \times F}$  is the input node features, and  $\sigma$  is a nonlinear activation function. This stacking aggregates multi-hop neighbor information but is computationally expensive and prone to over-smoothing. To address this, Mh-GCN expresses multi-hop aggregation in a single layer.

Mh-GCN consists of two core components: (1) a hop-weighted aggregation of adjacency matrices, and (2) a single GCN layer using the aggregated structure for message passing and classification.

Let  $\{A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(K)}\}$  be adjacency matrices representing 1-hop to  $K$ -hop connections:  $A^{(k)} = \prod_{i=1}^k A^{(1)}$  where  $A^{(1)}$  is the adjacency matrix of the given graph. We propose a learnable scalar weight  $w_k$

for each  $A^{(k)} \in \{A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(K)}\}$ . Then the aggregated adjacency matrix is defined as follows:

$$A^{multi} = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k A^{(k)}$$

where weights  $w_k$  are normalized by the softmax function along the  $K$  layers to ensure stability.

Using the aggregated  $A^{multi}$ , the node representations are computed by a single GCN layer:

$$H = \sigma(A^{multi} X W)$$

where  $W$  is the weight matrix. Finally, a classifier (e.g., a linear layer followed by a softmax function) is applied on  $H$  for node classification.

To prevent  $A^{multi}$  from deviating too much from the original  $A^{(1)}$ , we additionally optimize  $L_{reg} = \|A^{multi} - A\|_2$  along with the classification loss.

### III. Experiments

We test our Multi-hop GCN on citation networks (Cora, Citeseer, Pubmed) for semi-supervised node classification. Using ReLU, Adam optimizer, and 16 hidden units, we report mean accuracy ( $\pm$ std) over 10 runs with different random seeds.

We compare Mh-GCN with standard GCNs composed of 1, 2, and 3 layers. The results are summarized in Table 1. Mh-GCN consistently outperforms standard GCNs with the same number of parameters, demonstrating its superior ability to capture multi-hop information even with a single layer. Furthermore, Mh-GCN achieves performance comparable to deeper GCNs while maintaining more efficient architecture.

### IV. Conclusion

We proposed Mh-GCN, a single-layer model that efficiently captures multi-hop dependencies via learnable adjacency fusion. Mh-GCN achieves competitive or superior accuracy compared to deeper GCNs, with fewer parameters and lower complexity.

Table 1. Accuracy of Mh-GCN.

Data	Model	layers	Acc.	Std.	Params
Cora	GCN	1	0.746	0.001	10,038
		2	<b>0.795</b>	0.011	<b>23,063</b>
		3	0.782	0.012	23,335
	Mh-GCN (proposed)	1	0.713	0.005	10,040
		2	<b>0.787</b>	0.008	<b>10,042</b>
		3	0.770	0.011	10,044
CiteSeer	GCN	1	0.633	0.006	22,224
		2	<b>0.669</b>	0.02	<b>59,366</b>
		3	0.635	0.015	59,638
	Mh-GCN (proposed)	1	0.559	0.002	22,225
		2	<b>0.653</b>	0.007	<b>22,226</b>
		3	0.620	0.009	22,227
PubMed	GCN	1	0.735	0.002	1,503
		2	<b>0.765</b>	0.002	<b>8,067</b>
		3	0.766	0.006	8,339
	Mh-GCN (proposed)	1	0.666	0.003	1,504
		2	0.754	0.006	1,505
		3	<b>0.756</b>	0.004	<b>1,506</b>

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by IITP grant funded by the MSIT [No.RS-2021-II211343, Artificial Intelligence Graduate School Program (Seoul National University)].

### REFERENCES

- [1] Kipf, Thomas N., and Max Welling. "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks." ICLR (2017).
- [2] Kim, J., K. H. Park, H. Yoon, and U. Kang. "Accurate Link Prediction for Edge-Incomplete Graphs via PU Learning". AAAI (2025)
- [3] Kim, J., K. H. Park, H. Yoon, and U. Kang. "Accurate Graph-based Multi-Positive Unlabeled Learning via Disentangled Multi-view Feature Propagation". KDD (2025)